

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/631,247	07/31/2003	Robert William Lally	No.	1600	
75	90 03/16/2004		EXAMINER		
Robert W. Larry			FERNSTROM, KURT		
7 Eagle Heights Drive Orchard Park, NY 14127			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3712	3712	
		DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		1.0				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/631,247	LALLY, ROBERT WILLIAM				
(Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kurt Fernstrom	3712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAI - Extensions after SIX (I - If the perio - If NO perio - Failure to I Any reply I earned pal	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. so of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In the computation of the provision of the communication of the computation of the computatio	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day: ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)□ Res	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,—	·						
•							
clos	sed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
² 4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)☐ Cla	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	im(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
•	nim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∐ Cla	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application	Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)∟ The	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TORING TO-132.				
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date 7/31/03.	6) Other:	and its improvement (i i to i to i to i				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-9, drawn to an educational toy, classified in class 434, subclass
 302.
- II. Claim 10, drawn to sensor mounting adaptor, classified in class 248, subclass 637.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as an educational toy wherein the sensor is mounted in any number of ways. Also, the invention of Group II can be used to mount a sensor in a device unrelated to educational toys such as that of Group I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,247

Art Unit: 3712

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Robert Lally on March 2, 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-9. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 10 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Objections

Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: The language "one the end of another flexible line" in lines 1-2 appears to conatin a typographical error.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,247

Art Unit: 3712

Claim 1 recites the limitation "at least one sensor having a mass attached to a spring connected to said object". From this, it is not clear whether the spring itself is connected to the object, or whether the mass, which has a spring, is connected to the object. Applicant is requested to amend the claim to clarify the structural relationships of the elements. Also, in claim 4, it is not clear what is meant by "as a glider type swing" and "as a simple swing". This claim language should also be amended, to make clear how the invention operates. In claim 5, it is not clear how the object would fall freely when it is pulled down and released. It seems that the object would move upwards when pulled and released because of the tension in the suspension line. In claim 6, it is not clear how the sensors operate to suppress the motion of the object.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-9 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to disclose or suggest a device having all of the limitations of claim 1. There are numerous pendulum-type educational toys to demonstrate principles of motion, as shown for example by Strouse, Ruchlus and Beck. However, none of these devices comprise a sensor attached to a spring being connected to the pendulum object. Sensors are widely known in a great variety of devices and applications; however, there is no motivation to suggest attaching a sensor and spring to the devices

Application/Control Number: 10/631,247

Art Unit: 3712

of Strouse et al. As a result, claim 1, and all claims dependent therefrom, contain allowable subject matter.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Beck, Phillips, Hall, Belgau, McKinley, Fenkanyn and Kim disclose various devices for demonstrating principles of motion.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Fernstrom whose telephone number is (703) 305-0303. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kurt Ferston

KF